Single Bench (B)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT

BILASPUR

Writ Petition NO. 4846 **/2003**

PETITIONER : Balbir Singh Shakya, aged 40 Years
S/o Hiralal Shakya, Vaccinator,
Vertnary Hospital, Ramanujnagar,
Distt. Sarguja (C.G.)

P.R. No. HB53/04
Presented by Shri D.N. Prajapati
dated 13-12-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary
Animal Husbandry Department
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY 13/12/04
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

2. The Director
Veterinary Services,
Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Deputy Director
Veterinary Services, Ambikapur (C.G.)

4. The State of M.P.
Through Secretary
Animal Husbandry Department
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

संयुक्त आयुक्त बिलासपुर (छ.ग.) 13/12/04 बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER**

As stated above in the cause title.

2. **PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS**

As stated above in the cause title.

904

XI-HC-22

38

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक Wp.No.4876/04 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

29-4-2005

On a mention being made, the case is taken up for hearing.

Shri D. N. Prajapati, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, G.A. for the State/respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard on I.A. No.589/2005 for amendment in para 5.5 of the petition.

It has been mentioned in the application that due to typographical mistake instead of word 'directed' word 'submitted ' was typed out.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, it is allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitoner is allowed to carry out the amendment during the course of the day in the petiton itself.

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition being aggrieved by in-action on the part of respondents No.2 and 3. The case of the petitoner is that in the year 1999 he was selected on the post of Assistant Veterinary Field Officer and after selection his appointment was made and he also underwent 3 months' training. However, that selection was challenged and the same was quashed by the State Adminstrative Tribunal. After formation of the State of Chhattisgarh, now respondent No.1 has advertised the vacancy for the post of Assistant Veterinary Field Officer. The petitioner made a representation claiming preferential treatment. As he was selected and training was received, therefore, he was entitled for preferential treatment, but the representation of the petitioner has not been decided so far.

Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation again to respondent No.1 and

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

he be directed to decide the same to which counsel for respondent No.1 has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitoner and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation before respondent No.1 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn respondent No.1 will decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the points raised by the petitioner in an objective manner in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.5123/2004 and I.A. No.9648/2004 also stand disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

Barwel

(पीछे देखिये)